

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE WOG 8339.0001 8421 10/625,019 07/23/2003 Paul R. Gary **EXAMINER** 09/21/2004 William O. Geny, Esq. AMERSON, LORI BAKER 1600 ODS Tower PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 601 SW Second Avenue Portland, OR 97204-3157

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	I	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/625,019		GARY, PAUL R.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		L Amerson		3764		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover shee	t with the co	rrespondence add	dress	
Period fo	r Reply					
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, ma within the statutory minimum of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) I cause the application to becom	y a reply be time thirty (30) days MONTHS from the ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely, ne mailing date of this con (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	ly 2003.				
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	(s)			•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		of Informal Pat	e tent Application (PTO	-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/625,019 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klemis. Klemis discloses a device having a first and second surface placed on the torso while lying in a supine position and a resistance interacting between the surfaces being a pad of compressible material. The user is capable of engaging each surface with the thighs and arms by contracting the muscles (fig. 1-3; col. 3, lines 56-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7-8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klemis as applied to claims 6 and 9 above, and further in view of Friedman. Klemis discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the void in the uppers surface. Friedman teaches a void in an upper surface of a pad (fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Klemis in view of the teaching of Friedman such that a void is provided

Application/Control Number: 10/625,019 Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

to receive inserts of materials. Regarding the shape of the void, a change in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966). Furthermore, regarding the range, absent a teaching as to criticality that (), this particular arrangement is deemed to have been known by those skilled in the art since the instant specification and evidence of record fail to attribute any significance (novel or unexpected results) to a particular arrangement. In re Kuhle, 526 F.2d 553, 555, 188 USPQ 7,9 (CCPA 1975).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L Amerson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5576. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri from 8-5 p.m. Interviews Tue. and Thur..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on (703) 308-2698. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,019

Art Unit: 3764

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

L. Amerson